

**Intellectual Property Law Group****Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc**

600 Hidden Ridge Drive
Irving, Texas 75038
MailCode HQE03H14
Phone: 972/718-4800
Fax: 972/718-3946
E mail:
christian.andersen@verizon.com

To: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office – From: Christian R. Andersen
MAIL STOP: ISSUE FEE Sr. Paralegal – Intellectual Property
Examiner: Qutub GHULAMALI
Group Art Unit: 2637
Confirmation No.: 5951

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 22 2005

Fax: 703-872-9306 Pages
with 8
Cover:


FORMAL SUBMISSION OF:

- 1) Communication Providing Allowed Claims Listing Subsequent to Payment of Issue Fee at Request of Patent Office; and
- 2) Copy of Issue Fee Transmittal sent April 22, 2005.

Title: METHOD FOR DE-JITTERING OF TRANSPORTED MPEG-2
AND MPEG-4 VIDEO
Serial No. 09/597,112
Filing Date: June 20, 2000
First Named Inventor: BASCH
Atty. No. 99-959

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the above-referenced documents are facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below


Christian R. Andersen

Date of Transmission: June 22, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent Office at 703-872-8308.

Dated: June 22, 2005

Signature:

(Christian Andersen)

Docket No.: 99-959
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Basch et al.

Application No.: 09/597,112

Group Art Unit: 2637

Filed: June 20, 2000

Examiner: Qutub Ghulamali

For: METHOD FOR DE-JITTERING OF
TRANSPORTED MPEG-2 AND MPEG-4
VIDEO

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 22 2005

**COMMUNICATION PROVIDING ALLOWED CLAIMS LISTING SUBSEQUENT TO
PAYMENT OF ISSUE FEE AT REQUEST OF PATENT OFFICE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On April 22, 2005, we paid the issue fee for the above-identified application. A copy of the Issue Fee Transmittal is attached as Exhibit A. We recently received a phone call from the Patent Office indicating that there was a problem with printing the patent, but were provided no details.

At our request, our outside patent counsel, Michael B. Stewart, contacted and had a number of discussions with the Examiner, Qutubuddin Ghulamali. Mr. Ghulamali was very proactive and we appreciate his assistance in helping to determine the issue that needs to be resolved. It is our understanding that the printers would appreciate a copy of the claims as allowed, but showing the most recent claim amendments subsequent to the filing of the response to the final office action that were done by way of Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment only provided a "clean copy" of the amended claims. Thus, a complete listing of claims follows beginning on page 2. The designation "Currently Amended" merely shows the Examiner's Amendment that was entered, but now with appropriate additions and deletions.